## SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

)

ARIZONA PUBLIC INTEGRITY
ALLIANCE, and Arizona nonprofit
Corporation; TYLER MONTAGUE, an
individual,

Arizona Supreme Court No. CV-20-0253-AP/EL

Plaintiffs/Appellants, )

Court of Appeals
Division One
No. 1 CA-CV 20-0458

V.

Maricopa County Superior Court No. LC2020-000252-001

ADRIAN FONTES in his official capacity as Maricopa County Recorder; FRAN McCARROL in her official capacity as Clerk of the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors; CLINT HICKMAN, JACK SELLERS, STEVE CHUCRI, BILL GATES, AND STEVE GALLARDO, in their official capacities as members of the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors; MARICOPA COUNTY, a political subdivision of the State of Arizona,

FILED 09/10/2020

Defendants/Appellees. )

## AMENDED ORDER

)

The Court, by a panel consisting of Chief Justice Brutinel, Justice Gould, Justice Lopez, and Justice Beene, has considered the briefs of the parties, the record, the trial court's ruling, and the relevant statutes and case law in this expedited election matter.

Plaintiffs sought to enjoin the Maricopa County Recorder and the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors from including a particular instruction ("New Instruction") with mail-in ballots for the 2020 general election. The New Instruction informed voters that that they could vote for one candidate and, if they made a mistake, could cross

Arizona Supreme Court No. CV-20-0253-AP/EL Page  ${\bf 2}$  of  ${\bf 3}$ 

out their selection and vote for a different candidate.

On September 4, 2020, the trial court determined that although Plaintiffs showed a likelihood of success on the merits, Plaintiffs did not meet the other criteria to warrant a preliminary injunction. The trial court, therefore, denied Plaintiffs' application for a preliminary injunction.

The Court finds Defendants exceeded their authority by proposing to include the New Instruction. While election statutes have changed, the permissible voter instructions, as authorized by A.R.S. § 16-502 and the Election Procedural Manual, have not. Further, we conclude that Plaintiffs have satisfied the requirements for obtaining a preliminary injunction.

IT IS ORDERED reversing the trial court's order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED enjoining election officials, the Maricopa County Recorder and its vendors from inserting the "New Instruction" in the envelopes with the ballots for the November 3, 2020 general election.

An opinion will follow.

DATED this 10th day of September, 2020.

/s/
ROBERT BRUTINEL
Chief Justice

Arizona Supreme Court No. CV-20-0253-AP/EL Page  $\bf 3$  of  $\bf 3$ 

## TO:

Alexander Michael del Ray Kolodin
Christopher A Viskovic
Chris Ford
Joseph Eugene La Rue
Thomas P Liddy
Emily M Craiger
Joseph Branco
Joseph A Kanefield
Brunn W Roysden III
Linley Wilson
Jennifer Wright
Hon. James D Smith
Hon. Jeff Fine
Amy M Wood
pm